

Regarding: Woking 2027 DPD Consultation

Vicky Straughan [REDACTED]

Sent: 31 July 2015 14:51

To: Planning Policy

Cc: [REDACTED]

Miss Victoria Straughan & Mr Jason Turner
31 Ellis Farm Close
Mayford
Woking
GU22 9QN

Email: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Sirs,

Jason and I have been a residents of Mayford for 1 year and have been made aware of the Woking 2027 planning proposals that will impact Mayford. We would like to register our strong opposition to the proposals made in the documents referenced below.

Specifically:

Site Reference: GB7 (Ten Acre Farm, Smarts Heath Road)

We strongly and without reservation object to the proposed increase to the number of Traveller pitches on this land. Mayford's provision for the Traveller community is more than sufficient in relation to the location, number of pitches and supporting environment. The environmental impact to the Green Belt has driven the refusal of previous applications and we actively support the continued preservation of our Green Belt

Site References:

GB8 (Nursery land adjacent to Egley Road)

GB9 (Woking Garden Centre, Egley Road)

GB10 (Land to the north east of Saunders Lane, between Saunders Lane and Hook Hill Lane)

GB11 (Land to the north west of Saunders Lane)

GB14 (Land adjacent to Hook Hill Lane, Hook Heath)

We strongly object to the proposal for housing on **all** of the above sites.

The existence of the Green Belt is what enticed us to purchase a property in Mayford, especially that there is a clear division from an urban centre with provision for rural activities such as walking and biking, which we especially value. The immediate environmental change, based on traffic, noise and air quality is

immediately evident compared to the surrounding towns and is what means an immense amount to us as residents of this very community centric village. As a village, we do not have and cannot accomodate - because of location and conservation - the increased pavements, roads, lighting and general infrastructure required. This is also an environment rich with wildlife and community enrichment by that wildlife, greenery and atmosphere.

The proposals have given no consideration, whatsoever to the preservation of traffic, noise, air quality or village life. We are generally appalled the propositions and the likely impact of even one of the propositions being adopted. Not only to us, not only to the greenery, wildlife but the additional impact to the surrounding areas as urban sprawl continues.

Please also refer to the response by the Mayford Village Society who I am happy also to represent my views.

Yours sincerely,

- Miss Victoria Straughan
- Mr Jason Turner