

James Snelgrove [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 16 July 2015 16:20

**To:** Planning Policy

**Cc:** info@pyrfordforum.org

Dear Woking Council

I am writing with regard to the proposed land in Pyrford (either side of Upshot Lane) being taken out of the Greenbelt.

I assume you will get hundreds of complaints so I will keep this to a few main bullet points outlining my major objections.

- I find it very surprising (suspicious even) that land which the Greenbelt Review deemed as unsuitable for removal from the Greenbelt, has now been included into your "Site Allocation Development Plan Document".
- The Site Allocation Development Plan seems to completely ignore expert and local advice.
- Other more suitable Parcels of land have been completely ignored, in particular Parcels 2,7,13 and 28
- Why are you not considering Parcel 31 which was considered far more suitable than say Parcel 9.
- Building on GB13 and GB12 will significantly impact the rural setting of the village.
- I frequently see protected animals in and around GB13 and GB12.. in particular badgers and bats which will be need to be displaced.
- GB13 offers unspoilt views across the valley into the surrey hills.. this stunning view will be lost if buildings were placed on these sites.
- The local schools wouldn't be able to cope with the increased number of children as they are already inundated with applications each year.
- There is gridlock outside the school every day at the end of Upshot Lane / Coldharbor road. It would be a disaster to add to this congestion by increasing the number of houses on this road.... 400 dwellings would probably add another 600 cars.

I assume once reading the many objections being sent to you, Woking council will re-consider taking these important parcels of land out of the Site Allocation Development Plan and replace with sites which the experts declare to be far more suitable.

Regards

James Snelgrove

[3 Upshot Lane](#)

[Pyrford](#)

[GU22 8SF](#)