

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Newton [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 July 2015 12:48
To: Jeni Jackson; Planning Policy
Subject: Objection to Housing Development in Pyrford

29 Boltons Close
Pyrford
Woking
Surrey
GU22 8TW

31 July 2015

Dear Ms Jackson,

I write to log my objections to the proposed developments to build 400+ new houses in Pyrford on the green belt land along Upshott Lane.

I grew up in Pyrford from 1984 to 2000 and have recently moved back to the area to live with family, while saving towards a house deposit. I love Pyrford and the surrounding area and it would be a dream come true for me to reside here in the future. So you might think I would be supportive of this development, as it would allow me to fulfil my dream? Quite the opposite!

Based on the scale and value of other housing developments in Pyrford (Wexfenne Gardens, Rowley Bristow & Oakfield School), young people will continue to be priced out of the market. If the proposed developments were to be offering affordable 'starter homes', I would still object, as Pyrford is simply not the place for this kind of housing. It would compromise the integrity and tranquility of Pyrford Village.

Additional housing developments cannot be supported without extending the existing infrastructure and local services, which would require even more expansion and the loss of the remaining green belt land. If these developments and the necessary infrastructure were to be approved, the village of Pyrford would effectively become a small town, which most of the community are clearly not in favour of based on the number of posters and billboards I have seen in the area in recent weeks.

So I strongly object to the proposed developments for the above and below reasons:-

Destroying the green belt that forms such an essential part of the 'village' look and feel and one of many reasons why many live here. We simply cannot keep building on our green belt land with no consideration for future generations.

Additional traffic, pollution and congestion that will be created, increasing the risks to children and families on route to the local school and shops. Coldharbour Road is already very busy in the morning as a access road to the A3/M25. Road safety for the school pick up / drop up simply has to be taken into consideration.

The number of discrepancies and inconsistencies in the process used by Woking Borough Council to reach their proposals for development site allocations. Surely this is not dissimilar to corporate corruption?! How can and will local services (shops, medical centre, schools, roads)

be developed to cater for the growing population in Pyrford? Has any of this been considered in the plans?

If people wish to live in built up areas, with no green belt land, no trees, no small local shops, no village schools and busy, congested roads, they can do so elsewhere. Pyrford Village is not the correct place for expansion and development. It has reached capacity and the community are now speaking out to object to this corporate and corrupt approach from Woking Borough Council.

I would be grateful if you can confirm receipt of my email and register my objections.

Yours sincerely,

Miss Wendy Newton

■■■■ ■■■■