



Mrs Catherine Henderson
6 Mayford Close
Mayford
Woking
GU22 9Qs

30th July 2015

Planning Policy Team,
Woking Borough Council,
Civic Offices,
Gloucester Square,
Woking, Surrey, GU21 6YL

Dear Sirs,

Regarding: Woking 2027 DPD Consultation

I have been made aware of the Woking 2027 planning proposals that will impact Mayford. My comments are not specific to any of your documents, however I will refer to the specific site references that I am concerned about:

Site Reference: GB7 (Ten Acre Farm, Smarts Heath Road)

I strongly object to the proposal to increase the number of Traveller Pitches on this land.

Currently, Woking's Traveller sites are concentrated in one part of the Borough – Hatchington, Burdenshott Road (one mile from Ten Acre Farm), Ten Acre Farm, Mayford, and Brookwood Lye (three miles from Ten Acre Farm). Mayford already provides a major contribution towards the Traveller Community. There is no justification for further expansion in Mayford.

Additionally, Ten Acre farm is adjacent to Smarts Heath Common, an SSSI, used by residents of Mayford for leisure purposes. Any increase in the present Traveller site of five caravans at 10 Acre Farm would decrease the visual amenity and character of the area and also increase risk to wildlife due to increased number of domestic animals in close proximity.

Over the years successive Planning Inspectors have refused applications on this site because they reduce the openness of a Green Belt area.

Furthermore,

- A sequential approach must be taken in identifying suitable sites for allocation, with sites in the urban area being considered before those in the Green Belt. However no urban sites appear to have been considered - there must be doubt as to the validity of no other sites across the whole of the Borough being identified or suitable.

- Where no sites are available in the urban area, priority will be given to sites on the edge of the urban area that benefit from good access to jobs, shops and other infrastructure and services. Mayford does not satisfy any of these criteria.
- Traveller sites should have adequate amenity for its intended occupiers, including space for related business activities. Smarts Heath Road is a residential road of some 25 houses, with two Grade Two listed buildings in close proximity to Ten Acre Farm. Travellers related business activities are out of keeping in such a road.
- Traveller sites should have safe and reasonable access to schools and other local facilities. Smarts Heath Road is not currently close to schools. It does not have easy access to any local facilities; in fact as is clear there are virtually no local facilities within the Mayford village precinct.

Site References:

GB8 (Nursery land adjacent to Egley Road)

Whilst I accept that the proposed secondary school on this site represents a special purpose for which green belt land can be ascribed, and I am supportive of the school proposal including mitigations for traffic congestion, visual and noise pollution, safety measures for students and public alike, flooding and run-off mitigation and so on, I strongly object to the associated application for a leisure centre, running track, football and other sports pitches, café, associated car parking and access provisions. These are totally inappropriate developments within a residential area and do not meet the Council's own stated 800m separation policy. The 5000 visits per week implies a substantial new load on an already overloaded and unexpandable road system and the public transport to and through this area as you know is dire. The early morning and late night operating hours for this site will have a major impact on residents both in the direct view and within surrounding areas. This is a totally inappropriate proposal and its association with the school proposal represents a very unfortunate lack of transparency on behalf of the Council.

Site References:

GB8 (Nursery land adjacent to Egley Road)

GB9 (Woking Garden Centre, Egley Road)

GB10 (Land to the north east of Saunders Lane, between Saunders Lane and Hook Hill Lane)

GB11 (Land to the north west of Saunders Lane)

I strongly object to the proposal for housing on all of the above sites.

The housing will fill in any green space between Mayford and Woking, thereby turning Mayford into a suburb of Woking and increasing greatly the risk of merging of Woking and Guildford – the whole purpose of the Green Belt. There appears to have been no consideration for preserving Mayford as a separate settlement to Woking, nor the impact on the character of the Village. The character of Mayford as an isolated community of less than a thousand dwellings will be destroyed forever; this will have a disproportionate and totally

unjustifiable impact on Mayford residents, all of whom chose to live in a semi-rural, not urban, environment.

There also appears to have been no consideration to the impact on Mayford's infrastructure that the increased population will result in, and the resulting dysfunction for existing and new residents alike. More people mean more cars and more strain on the transport infrastructure. I note that there are no plans to upgrade the roads (some of which have no pavements) or railway bridges (which are all single lane) nor robust solutions to deal with the existing traffic problems on Egley Road. Prey Heath Road will become very dangerous as increased traffic to Worplesdon station (which already struggles with very poor access) will be weaving around people walking on the road (as there are no pavements). The idea of directing traffic to 400 new homes down Saunders Lane is ridiculous – this is a narrow road which in parts is not passable to two lanes of traffic; it is already subject to significant through traffic travelling at inappropriate speed very close to houses which are built right up the road edge; and it is bounded by single-lane bridge and tunnel pinchpoints from all approach directions.

Not only will the wildlife in the developed areas be wiped out, but also there will be increased risk to wildlife in our protected Heaths (Smarts Heath and Prey Heath) due to the proximity of the development.

Some more detailed comments on your proposals follow, all of which require consideration by Council in keeping with your statutory obligations:

National Planning Policy Factors:

- National Policy states that Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in “exceptional circumstances” – this has not been proved by Woking Council, especially as Policy clearly states that “housing need – including for Traveller sites – does not justify the harm done to the Green Belt by inappropriate development.”
- No evidence (independently verified) has been produced to demonstrate that Woking Council has exhausted Brownfield sites for development in its Plan
- The Green Belt Review incorrectly dismissed the Green Belt Purpose “To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns” stating that “Woking is not considered to be a town that has a particularly strong historical character” – however Mayford does have a strong history and is mentioned in the Domesday Book. This important touchpoint with our past will be lost forever if your proposals proceed, as Mayford will effectively become Greater Woking.
- Green Belt land in Mayford is fundamental to the physical separation of Woking, Mayford and Guildford – this is incorrectly classified only as “important” in the Green Belt Review
- There is only two miles between the Mayford roundabout and Slyfield, which results in a high risk of coalescence between Woking and Guildford should Mayford develop further; far from “ensuring a strong defensible greenbelt boundary”, as your proposal suggests, the urbanisation and absorption of Mayford will just represent a natural kick-off point for further development towards Guildford. Strong boundaries are considered to be motorways, district roads, railway lines, rivers, prominent

natural response of motorists is to take alternative routes through narrow residential roads, exacerbating the impact on all residents south of Woking.

- Mayford has a very poor road network. Roads are narrow and most are unlit at night with few pedestrian footpaths. Traffic is gridlocked in the Village at peak hours. This will be further adversely affected by traffic from 550 new homes being built on Mayford's boundary at Willow Reach and Kingsmoor Park. The proposed school for Egley Road will further exacerbate this situation.
- Mayford has a poor public transport system with limited bus services.
- Worplesdon Station is inaccessible with unlit pedestrian footpaths leading to and away from the station.
- There are three single line bridges, two with traffic lights in the village. Those on Smarts Heath Road and Hook Hill Lane service the area proposed to be developed - neither could handle additional traffic. The third services Worplesdon Network Rail station which would notice a major increase in congestion.
- The Green Belt Review recommended Mayford on the basis of proximity to a "Local Centre", however, other than a Post Office and Barbers, Mayford has no supporting infrastructure in the form of shops, doctors, dentists, medical facilities, or schools. Residents living on any major development in the Village would be isolated unless they have a vehicle.

Please reconsider your plans - what is currently planned will have a devastating impact to Mayford as a Village. Mayford is unique in the U.K. and as stated above is mentioned in the Domesday Book

Please also refer to the response by the Mayford Village Society who I am happy also to represent my views

Yours sincerely,

Catherine M Henderson

