

Site References GB7,8,9,10,11,14

Sent: 22 July 2015 19:46

To: Planning Policy

I wish to object to the above mentioned sites potentially being withdrawn from The Green Belt in the future.

In general terms the idea of the green belt was to protect our green spaces and prevent one area of habitation running into another both of which are very important concepts and are even more important today than when the idea was first conceived.

GB7 site for traveller pitches. We already have a site very close to this and I seriously doubt that another site so close can be justified. This area is also important for wildlife and floods regularly

GB8, GB9, GB10 If these sites are built upon Woking will run into Mayford and Mayford will lose its identity as a village and Woking will extend as far as Prey Heath Road to the South. The traffic implications of development are too bad to even contemplate - traffic from the South of Woking into Woking at rush hour (which lasts 2 hours) is already at gridlock and the restrictions on the surrounding roads ,Victoria Arch in particular , make it impossible for these roads to take any more traffic. In addition this area of road regularly floods.

There are NO doctors surgeries to the South of Woking and not enough infrastructure to support large scale development.

GB10, GB11, GB14 Saunders lane is far too narrow to support any further traffic. It has an accident Black Spot at one end and two narrow traffic light operated railway bridges at the other ends. In addition GB14 would run Mayford into Woking with no Green Barrier and the land is low lying. Again no doctors or primary schools and indeed no church.

Whilst I appreciate the need for further housing this is not the way to do it. In the past the Council regularly refused infill of 1 -2 houses on infill sites in this area on the basis it was green belt and NO variation was allowed and not considering the cases on their individual merits. The reality is there are many infill sites in the area where the addition of 1 -6 houses will have a far smaller effect. In addition all the brown field sites have not been used and whilst this might be a more difficult option it is a more acceptable solution with less impact. Even so the provision of medical services needs to be addressed.

Janet Deacon

Cedar House

Pyle Hill GU22 0SR

Sent from Windows Mail