

Farvela,  
Pyrford Woods,  
Pyrford,  
Woking,  
Surrey GU22 8QL

30<sup>th</sup> July 2015

Dear Ms Jackson

We are writing to register our objection to the proposed development of green belt fields adjoining Upshot Lane in Pyrford. Our reasons for objecting are as follows:-

- 1) The local road infrastructure in and around Pyrford and West Byfleet is under great strain especially at rush hour. The Old Woking Road is already extremely busy and with extra traffic coming from the Upshot Lane area, and the problems associated with traffic incidents (which seem to be more common) on the M25 /A3 we will soon end up with a permanent state of gridlock. A good example of congestion already experienced by local residents is Parvis Road with the problem of drivers accessing the A3/M25, together with Woodham Lane drivers accessing the M3 via Chobham. It seems illogical to add to the congestion with even more residential homes and the additional cars this will obviously bring.
- 2) Pyrford Primary School and surrounding schools in Woking, West Byfleet and Byfleet are already under pressure and this will not be alleviated by the Upshot Lane proposed development and 400 additional households together with the proposed developments in surrounding villages some 882 dwellings.
- 3) Appointments at the GP surgery in West Byfleet are already oversubscribed and with the proposed developments in Pyrford and surrounding villages this can only worsen.
- 4) The Green Belt between villages and towns is essential for each village to maintain their own identity, the Upshot Lane development will not enhance the overall look and feel of Pyrford.

There is another proposed development of hundreds of homes in Sheerwater which will also have a bearing on some of the points made above. As a council we understand that you are being instructed by Government to find areas for development but perhaps spreading the houses around the county rather than building sprawling estates in villages would be a better policy. We are not against smaller sensible development not on the greenbelt, but feel that this has been ill thought out, and not to the benefit of local residents and tax payers.

Yours sincerely

Mr C & Mrs E A Bates